IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1278 of 1985

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : YES

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

PRABHAKAR GOVIND MARATHE

Versus

METHEW CHERIAN

Appearance:

1. Civil Revision Application No. 1278 of 1985

NOTICE SERVED for Petitioner

NOTICE UNSERVED for Respondent No. 1

(MR PB MAJMUDAR) for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

Date of decision: 26/12/2002

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. This is a revision application under Section 29 (2) of the Bombay Rent Act at the instance of the

applicant who is the original plaintiff in Regular Civil Suit no.732/1977.

2. This revision was filed by the applicant through advocate Mr.S.D. Patel, who expired during the pendency of the revision application. Consequently the Court issued notice to the petitioner to engage another advocate in place of his expired advocate, or to appear in person and to proceed further in the matter. This notice was served on the petitioner as early as on 11th June, 2001. However, since then, no appearance have been filed on behalf of the petitioner, nor does the petitioner appear in the Court to pursue the matter as a party-in-person.

3. Hence this revision application is dismissed for want of prosecution and Rule is discharged with no order as to costs.

[Y.B. BHATT, J.]

/phalguni/